

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Radial Wall Strain and μ QFR as Complementary Predictors of Risk After Myocardial Infarction

Ioannis Skalidis  | Mauro Boiago  | Gaetano Liccardo | Philippe Garrot

Institut Cardiovasculaire Paris-Sud, Hôpital Jacques Cartier, Ramsay-Santé, Massy, France

Correspondence: Ioannis Skalidis (Skalidis7@gmail.com)

Received: 11 June 2025 | **Revised:** 11 June 2025 | **Accepted:** 16 June 2025

Funding: The authors received no specific funding for this work.

To the Editor,

The study by Hou et al. offers compelling evidence for the prognostic significance of angiography-derived radial wall strain (RWS) in patients with acute myocardial infarction (AMI) following complete revascularization (CR) [1]. The demonstration that a maximum RWS (RWSmax) > 14.5% in nonsignificant stenoses is independently predictive of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) supports the emerging notion that biomechanical stress on the vessel wall—beyond traditional anatomical and flow-based parameters—may indicate plaque vulnerability and future clinical risk.

A particularly notable strength lies in the study's integration of RWS with μ QFR analysis. The finding that RWSmax retains predictive value regardless of whether lesions are deemed flow-limiting by μ QFR (> 0.8 or \leq 0.8) is clinically relevant, as it underscores the additive role of biomechanical indices in identifying high-risk lesions often considered physiologically benign. This may call into question the sufficiency of μ QFR or FFR-based deferred strategies alone in post-AMI patients and suggests a combined functional and mechanical risk assessment framework could better stratify residual risk [2].

Nevertheless, the study invites further discussion regarding the mechanistic underpinnings of high RWS in nonsignificant lesions. Could localized strain patterns reflect underlying microstructural features not visible by angiography, such as lipid cores or thin fibrous caps? While the authors correlate RWS with OCT-derived characteristics in prior studies, a direct comparison within this specific AMI cohort using intravascular

imaging would provide stronger pathophysiological validation. Additionally, the relatively high optimal cutoff for RWSmax (> 14.5%) compared to prior studies raises the question of whether acute coronary syndrome modifies plaque strain behavior or artifactually elevates RWS values. Clarifying this discrepancy through head-to-head RWS analysis in stable versus unstable coronary syndromes could refine its clinical utility.

In summary, this work broadens the paradigm of post-AMI risk stratification. Validation in prospective multicenter trials and exploration of mechanistic links between strain and plaque morphology are warranted to determine whether incorporating RWS into routine assessment can guide therapeutic decisions or justify preventive interventions for angiographically mild lesions.

Acknowledgments

The authors have nothing to report.

Disclosure

Dr Ioannis Skalidis is supported by a grant from the Gottfried and Julia Bangerter-Rhyner Foundation and from the Professor Dr Max Cloëtta Foundation. All other authors have reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. S. Hou, J. Wang, Y. Yang, et al., “Prognostic Value of Plaque Radial Wall Strain Analysis in Patients With Acute Myocardial Infarction After Complete Revascularization,” *Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions*, ahead of print, June 11, 2025, <https://doi.org/10.1002/ccd.31680>.
2. I. Skalidis, L. D'Angelo, J. Garot, and N. Amabile, “Radial Wall Strain in the Assessment of Non-Flow-Limiting Unstable Plaques in MIN-OCA,” *JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions* 18, no. 9 (May 2025): 1190–1191.